# **MALAYSIA**

Mad Nasir Shamsudin, Professor and Head, Department of Agribusiness and Information Systems, Universiti Putra Malaysia Jinap Selamat, Professor and Deputy Dean, Department of Food Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia

#### **Macroeconomic Situation and Outlook**

ecovery of the Malaysian economy gained momentum in 2002, with GDP growth of 4.2% (0.4% in 2001), amidst a more challenging external environment. All sectors of the economy expanded, with the main impetus to growth from the service (4.5%) and manufacturing (4.1%) sectors. Inflationary pressures remained subdued during the year. While the CPI increased at a faster rate of 1.8% in 2002 (compared to 1.4% in 2001), core inflation, a measure of demand-related price pressures, rose modestly by 0.4%. The low inflation is consistent with the significant output gap, estimated at 5.1% of the potential output level. The labour market mirrored the improved market conditions with the unemployment rate falling to 3.5%.

In an environment of uncertainty in the global economy, on the external front, the downside risks from potential shocks have heightened, although the domestic economic fundamentals have improved. Thus in 2003 and 2004, the growth forecast in the domestic economy would be mainly domestically driven, supported by a modest growth in external demand. In this environment, monetary policy is implemented in a supportive manner, within a framework of low interest rates and improved access to finance facilities, to support the fundamental shift from public sector-led to private sector-led economic growth. Thus the approach is to maintain a broader growth strategy that will increasingly rely on the private sector as the engine of domestic demand and on greater regional trade as the foundations for growth.

With the impact of SARS, especially on the hospitality and tourism sectors, which contributes about 7-8% to the GDP, growth in 2003 was revised downward to 3.7%. The economy, however, is anticipated to regain momentum in 2004, with projected growth of 4.5%. This projection is based on a modest world economic growth, some pick-up in the global electronics industry, and further expansion in intra-regional trade. (The government is still in the process of formulating measures to cushion the impacts of SARS on the economy. These measures, which will be announced by the end of the month, may include soft loans, tax breaks, and waivers on HRD fund contributions to enterprises whose businesses are linked to the hospitality and tourism sectors).

#### **Food Consumption Costs**

Food prices moderated at 0.7% in 2002, as growth in the prices of food taken at home softened to 0.2% (compared to 0.4% in 2001) due to favorable weather conditions. The overall inflation rate in 2003 is expected to moderate to 1.5% (it was 1.8% in 2002), mainly because of the lower impact of the one-off price increase for selected services. However, with firmer prices expected for agricultural commodities, food prices are anticipated to grow moderately at 0.8% in 2003 and 0.7% in 2004.

#### Food Processing and Marketing

Malaysia is positioning to be the halal hub food center in the Asian region, and is in the process of incorporating halal regulations in the HACCP food quality system. Herbs and ingredients that have "nutraceutical" functions are becoming popular due to consumers' concerns about the health benefit of foods. A recycling program for food packaging has been successful. A promotion on the nutritional labeling requirement is being carried out as it will be gazetted in June 2003, and will be made mandatory for some food products. The Food Hygiene Regulation has been approved, and was adopted by all food industries in Malaysia.

### **Agricultural Production and Trade**

In 2002, the agricultural sector benefited significantly from sharp improvements in the global prices of major commodities. Despite the high prices and strong external demand, value added for the sector only increased marginally by 0.3%, constrained by supply factors due largely to transitional lower biological yields of palm oil.

The agricultural sector is expected to improve in 2003 to record a growth of 1.5% due primarily to higher production of palm oil. The change in the biological yield cycle as well as the increase in newly matured areas coming into production is expected to contribute to a higher output of palm oil during the year. Meanwhile, continuous efforts by the government to improve domestic food production are expected to result in higher production of livestock, fish, fruits, and vegetables.

Commodity prices are expected to be firmer in 2003. This would raise export proceeds from the agricultural sector by 10.9%. While export volume is forecast to rise slightly, the higher export receipts would reflect primarily higher average prices of all major agricultural commodities. With the government effort to increase food production, food imports were reduced by RM100 million in 2002, and exports increased by RM900 million. This trend is expected to continue, and it is the government's goal to achieve balanced trade by 2010.

As a member of the Organisation of the Islamic Conference, Malaysia is working closely with other member countries to promote halal foods worldwide. The global market for halal food is expanding, and the demand for halal food is estimated to be worth more than US\$52.6 billion per year. Thus Malaysia is planning to establish an International Halal Food Centre (IHFC) to enable it to be not only the world halal food producer, but a centre for marketing, certifications, and references. Apart from formulating halal food policies and procedures, the IHFC will function as a one-stop centre for marketing and a platform for other activities related to halal food. These activities encompass legislations, inspection and certification, enforcement, research and development, analysis, sampling and laboratory facilities, and consumerism. It is also intended to function as a reference or net-

working centre at which trade negotiations could be held, both at national and international levels.

## Food and Agricultural Policy

Malaysia's positions on various issues related to food and agriculture are guided by the need to maintain outward-looking policies for growth and the various national sensitivities that are attached to certain food and agricultural enterprises for reasons of food security, rural development and poverty alleviation, social security and stability, as well as balanced sectoral development. On the whole, the only subsector in food that is mostly protected is the rice industry. On the other hand, Malaysia has a competitive palm oil industry. Thus promoting protectionist policies in negotiations would not be in the best interests of the Malaysian palm oil, the largest contributor to agricultural GDP. On the other hand, promoting too much reform will hurt the rice subsector and food sector. Hence, the most effective position for Malaysia to adopt is one that balances both sides, that is, a cautious liberalized outlook to ensure fairer trade and better market access opportunities, while at the same time not sacrificing the social and political importance of the sensitive sectors. Malaysia hoped to protect its interests in the sensitive sectors through the special and differential treatment (S&D) provisions for developing countries. At the national level, initiatives are underway to transform these sectors for greater competitiveness in the long run.

In general, the effective duty rates on imported agricultural products are low by international standards. Over the years, and especially during the 1990s, tariffs have been reduced on a broad range of products. The number of tariff lines under the 0-5% category has increased from 318 lines to 866 lines in 1997 or from 50.9% to almost 70% of all tariffs lines. This is both to meet Malaysia's obligations to international and regional trade agreements, and also to achieve voluntary cuts to ensure the competitiveness of agricultural subsectors in the long term. In addition, these cuts have been made on food products especially to ensure that food prices remain reasonable alongside the government's efforts to control inflation. With the implementation of the ASEAN Free Trade Area, there appeared to be significant increases in Malaysia's intra-ASEAN trade in food and agricultural products. Average intra-ASEAN exports increased from US\$4,153.8 million to US\$5,533.2 million. Malaysia's intra-ASEAN exports increased from US\$1026.0 million to US\$1,378.5 million. Malaysia's intra-ASEAN imports also increased from US\$583.6 million to US\$942.1 million.

In facing the challenges of agricultural trade liberalization that were brought about not only by the AFTA but also the World Trade Organization's Agreement on Agriculture (AoA), the government has formulated the Third National Agricultural Policy (NAP3), 1998-2010. Among the objectives of the NAP3 are to increase productivity and competitiveness of the sector and to create new sources of growth. One of the major strategies employed to realize the objectives of NAP3 is to lay a stronger economic foundation to enable greater improvements in the efficiency, productivity, and competitiveness of

Malaysian agriculture. In this regard, the government is committed to further development of the key elements of the economic foundation, including human resources, technology, the physical infrastructure, finance and incentives, and industries and institutions that support the agricultural sector. Budgetary outlays are being enhanced to effect such development. Agricultural production would also be geared towards niche markets where quality rather than price is the primary influence on consumers' purchasing decisions. Towards this end, facilities and capabilities for food inspection and quality control are to be expanded and upgraded. In addition, programs for creating awareness among producers on the importance of, and adherence to, the proposed health and safety standards are also underway.

### Role of Demographics in the Food System

Trends in Malaysian food consumption are typical of those of developing countries, where structural changes in dietary habits can be categorized into the following stages: an initial increase in the consumption of traditional staple foods (such as rice), followed by an increase in the consumption of non-traditional staple foods (such as wheat and secondary products derived from traditional staple materials), diversification in consumption habits including the time and place of consumption, and finally, an increase in the consumption of a greater variety and volume of higher value and higher protein foods (such as meat, fish, and milk). The latter is likely to be at the expense of traditional sources of lower quality protein (such as cereals) rather than the sources of traditional higher quality protein. Hence, in Malaysia, it is generally observed that the demand for meat, fish, dairy products, and food eaten away from home has increased considerably, while the importance of rice as a staple food is decreasing steadily. From 1985-2000, per capita rice consumption declined by 16.1%, from 102.2 to 85.7 kg, and it is forecasted to decline further to 80.4 kg in 2010. Wheat consumption, a substitute for rice, has increased by 8.7%, from 29.9 to 32.5 kg over the same period. Consumption of beef and chicken has increased by 120.8% and 141.7%, respectively. Their consumption is expected to increase by the annual average rates of 4.7% for beef and 0.4% for chicken until 2010. Pork consumption did not change. Fruit, vegetable, and fish consumption have doubled. Fruit and vegetable consumption are projected to grow by 2%, and fish by 1.6%, over the next 10 years. Thus the substitution of calories obtained from non-staple for staple food sources has been substantial. Typically, economists explain such changes in food consumption patterns primarily as a result of increasing income and changes in food prices. However, in a country that is undergoing rapid structural transformation and urbanization, changes in tastes and lifestyles, market development, and occupation also may be important influences on food demand. This observation is supported by Alias (2001), which indicates that Malaysian consumers are moving towards high-value and superior food as per capita income increases and the level of affluence of Malaysian society rises.

**FOOD EXPENDITURE PATTERN:** In terms of changes in the food expenditure pattern, the proportion of total expenditure accounted for by food consumption fell drastically from 45.1% in 1973, to 35.4% in 1980, 35.2% in 1994, and 22.6% in 1999. The proportion remained stable at around 35% in the 1980s, implying that food expenditure rose in conjunction with total household expenditure. Contrary to Engel's law, the reason that the proportion did not fall with the rapid economic growth of the 1980s is that a decrease in the proportion expended on at-home food was almost cancelled out by an increase in that of expenditure for away-from-home food. This means that the share of food consumed away from home increased at the expense of at-home food consumption. The proportion of food consumed away from home increased from 7.1% in 1980 to 12.5% in 1994, while the proportion of at-home food declined from 28.4% to 22.7% over the same period. This implies that although the proportion spent on athome food to total expenditure decreased 5.7%, the expenditure on food consumed away from home increased 5.4% from 1980 to 1999.

**EXPENDITURE WITHIN FOOD GROUPS:** Consistent with the changes in per capita food consumption, in both 1973 and 1980, the highest proportion within food expenditure was spent on rice, bread, and other cereals, whereas in 1994 and 1999, this subgroup was ranked third and fourth, respectively. In 1999, out of total food expenditure, the proportion spent on rice was 10.6%; bread and other cereals, 11.4%; meat, 13.6%; fish, 20.1%; and fruits and vegetables, 20.4%.

**EXPENDITURE AND CONSUMPTION PATTERN BY STRATUM:** The proportion of urban population had increased to 60.4% in 2002, compared to 50.7% in 1990. Looking at the urban-rural dichotomy, distinct consumption patterns emerged. The priority placed on food expenditure was relatively higher for rural households. In 1999, rural households spent 28.8% of their budget on food compared to 19% by urban household. Out of total expenditure, food ranked first in importance for rural households, while it ranked second for urban households.

On the other hand, expenditure on away-from-home food was higher for urban households: it was 12% compared to 7% of the budget for rural households. The increasing popularity of taking meals away from the home in the urban areas is probably partly out of preference and partly out of practical necessity.

**EXPENDITURE PATTERNS BY ETHNIC GROUP:** The three major ethnic groups of Malaysia are Bumiputra (65.1%), Chinese (26%), and Indian (7.7%). Bumiputra households spent 16% less on food in 1994 compared to 1973. In 1973, Bumiputra households spent around 41% of their household budgets on food. This amount was reduced to 30% in 1980, and though food was still the most important component of the household budget, it made up only 25% of the total expenditure in 1994. The component of away-from-home food is gaining importance in the Bumiputra's expenditure pattern, reflecting the growing popularity of eating out. In 1973, only 4% of the budget was devoted to this category. There was an increase of 1% in

1980, and in 1994, there was a noticeable increase of almost 9%.

Chinese and Indian households showed similar trends in their food consumption patterns. The proportion spent on food showed a marked decline from 34% in 1973, to 26% in 1980, and 20% in 1994. However, the budget spent on food consumed away from home by Chinese households reflects a different pattern compared to the Bumiputra. In 1980, there was a drop of 1% in this component over the 1973 figure, but it rose substantially to 14% in 1994. Thus, generally, Chinese households allocate a smaller percentage on food compared to Bumiputra households; the reverse is noticed in the foodaway-from-home item. Indian households also spent relatively less on food in 1994 compared to 1973 and 1980. The figures were 34% in 1973, 29% in 1980, and 23% in 1994.

It can be observed that Bumiputra households spent relatively more on food compared to Chinese and Indian. For both Bumiputra and Indian households, food expenditure ranked first, while for the Chinese households, it is third in importance.

**CONSUMPTION BY AGE GROUP:** In general, the mean energy intakes were found to decline with age. For the elderly (those more than 60 years old), mean energy intakes for both sexes were lower than the Malaysian Recommended Dietary Allowances. The percentage of carbohydrate from total calories is higher compared to fat and protein. As people grew older, there was a decline in the intake of protein, fat, and carbohydrate. Significantly lower carbohydrate intake was noted in cohort group above 80 years. In terms of per capita consumption, in general, the consumption of rice and wheat tends to increase until the mid-40s, and decline thereafter. The consumption of meat, fish, and fruits, however, tends to increase until the mid-60s, and decline afterwards.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS:** Having presented the structural changes in food consumption over time, there are several concerns that need to be addressed. One is the price of some foods that have been on the rise recently, like meat and fish. This has been major point of contention among consumers, and efforts to regulate or even control their prices have not been fully successful. The price increases are impediments to economic access by consumers. There are greater concerns related to aspects of availability and stability. Past experience has shown the prevalence of a supply-demand mismatch for many food products, including fish, poultry, and other meats and vegetables and fruits, and this mismatch causes shortages at certain periods and places.

The discernible shift in food demand from rice to wheat-based products like bread and various convenient and instant foods is in line with Malaysia's rising affluence. The reduction in the household consumption of rice (income elasticity is –0.240), which is compensated by an increased intake of wheat-based food items (cross-price elasticity is 0.106) and prepared foods, has a beneficial effect of reducing the pressure on domestic rice production and the need to attain a very high self-sufficiency level in rice. This corresponds with the government policy of maintaining only 65% rice self-sufficiency given the

#### MALAYSIA

cost of rice production in the country.

While rice still contributes the bulk of the calorie intake in the Malaysian diet, the rapid development of the country's economy is encouraging a shift from rice to higher value and higher proteins foods such as those derived from meats and fish. The future demand for livestock products is thus an important concern for policymakers because of its impact on self-sufficiency, food prices, and the nation's trade balance.

Urbanization has been shown to have a significant effect on food consumption in terms of dietary habits and food preferences. Since urbanization is expected to proceed rapidly in a number of developing countries, including Malaysia, over the coming decades, projections of future global food supply and demand balances need to take such structural changes into account. Domestically, this has placed an increasing pressure on existing food production systems, food imports, as well as food quality and safety from plough to plate.

From the above analysis, the food supply chain is increasingly market-led, with the end consumer being one of the main drivers for

change. Demographic factors seem to be among the most significant variables that generate changes, and understanding the changes that occur will probably provide one of the best bases for adding value to the supply chain to meet consumer needs and formulate appropriate policies. Thus investment in tomorrow's food sector will only be meaningful if the relationships in the supply chain are recognised and responded to in Malaysia, as elsewhere. One area that needs a special focus is the goal of greater integration between food producers and downstream sectors. While the lack of market integration in the food sector has not deprived producers of high returns, it does lead to bottlenecks in the supply and distribution of products to consumers. Restructuring of the food market and marketing system has to be undertaken to ensure more remunerative returns to producers. Concomitantly, integration between food producers and final markets, including super and hypermarkets, restaurants, and hotels as well as the tourism industry should be encouraged.

#### MALAYSIA

Procession of the product of the process of the p		Units	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Per- partic caloric intale   Caloday   2786   2807   2818   2818   2820   2818   2820   2850   28	FOOD CONSUMPTION PATTERNS		1004	1995	1990	1991	1990	1333	2000	2001	LUUL	2003	2004
Prosent premer ciaciners			2786	2807	2813	2818	2822	2834	2842	2850	2858	2860	2868
Protein figeream of calaries)	From animal products	Cal/day	507	516	524	533	539	549	557	565	573	573	574
Figure   F	From vegetable products	Cal/day	2279	2291	2289	2285	2283	2280	2277	2278	2277	2277	2278
New Notion Principle   New Notion   New No	Protein (percent of calories)	%	8.0	8.0	7.9		7.8	7.8			7.8	7.8	7.7
No.													
Per-cipit income   Sisk-opta   342   341   345   347   349   349   348   3516   388   3	Carbohydrates (percent of calories)	%	58.8	58.6	58.7	58.6	58.6	58.6	58.5	58.5	58.5	58.5	58.6
wf. disposible incomer, toad load         %         34,9         34,9         34,9         34,9         34,9         34,8         33,8         34,8         34,0         30,2         30,2         30,2         30,2         33,3         33,8         33,8         33,8         33,8         33,8         33,8         33,8         33,8         33,8         33,8         33,8         33,8         33,8         33,8	INCOME AND FOOD PRICE b												
wightspinemen, food ways from home food         %         9.8         9.8         9.8         9.8         9.8         9.7         0.0         9.8	Per-capita income	US\$/capita	3432	4011	4446	4377	3093	3238	3516	3584	3814	4031	4310
Food price index (CPP)   1999 - 100	% disposible income, total food	%				34.9		34.9	33.8	33.8	33.8	33.8	33.8
General price index (CPP)   199 = 100													
Fool price inches CPP	•												
POPULATION δ, c, d   Formation   Million   20.1   20.7   21.2   21.7   22.2   22.7   23.3   24.0   24.5   25.0   25.6   (Urban   % 4.1   54.7   55.6   56.5   57.3   58.1   58.8   59.6   60.4   61.2   62.1   62													
Population	•												
Total population   Million   Q-1   Q-7   Z1-2   Z1-7   Z2-2   Z2-7   Z3-3   Z4-0   Z4-5   Z5-0   Z5-6   Z5-1   Nonurban   %   45-9		70	5./	5.4		2.0		2.0	1.0	1.4	1.0	1.)	1./
Urban													
Nonurban   %   45.9   45.9   45.9   45.5   42.7   41.9   41.2   40.4   40.6   38.6   37.9													
Share of population in the following age groups													
0-4 years			45.9	45.5	44.4	45.5	42./	41.9	41.2	40.4	59.6	58.8	5/.9
5-14 years   %   23.5   23.2   25.0   22.7   22.5   22.4   22   21.4   21.1   20.7   20.3     15-19 years   %   99   99   99   99   99   99   99			12.5	123	12.0	11 2	11.7	11.6	11.5	11.6	11.5	11.2	11.2
19-19 years													
20-44 years   %   38.2   38.5   38.6   38.8   38.7   38.9   39.   39.2   39.3   39.5   39.7     45-64 years   %   12.3   12.5   12.5   12.5   13.0   13.2   13.5   13.8   14.1   14.3   14.6     65-79 years   %   3.1   3.1   3.1   3.1   3.2   3.2   3.2   3.2   3.3   3.3   3.4   3.4     80 years and over   %   0.5   0.6   0.6   0.6   0.6   0.6   0.6   0.6   0.6     Median age of population   Years   21.7   22.0   22.2   22.5   22.8   23.0   23.4   23.7   24.0   24.2   24.5     Female labor force participation   e %   74.0   74.1   74.1   74.5   74.7   74.8   75.0   75.2   75.3   75.5   75.8     Males   Years   69.3   69.5   69.6   69.7   69.7   69.9   70.1   70.3   70.4   70.6   70.8     Females   Years   74.0   74.1   74.1   74.5   74.7   74.8   75.0   75.2   75.3   75.5   75.8     For Do INFRASTRUCTURE  Trade capacity  Torain exports   f   1000 Tons   171   243   253   251   316   224   243   263   286   315   354     Grain imports   f   f   1000 Tons   3509   3946   3930   4392   3569   4145   4321   4504   4695   4883   4986     Grain da agricultural crade   Million US\$   1041   13514   1181   1340   1228   1235   134   4304   4695   4883   4986     For Total food and agricultural exports   i, j Million US\$   6788   8943   8703   8312   8386   7691   6449   6460   6471   6471   7494     Perishable products   Million US\$   314   329   320   330   330   301   295   344   350   357   359   367     Perishable products   Million US\$   371   371   980   1078   1040   761   864   931   975   1020   1062   1072     Fishery imports   i   Million US\$   771   980   1078   1040   761   864   931   975   1020   1062   1072     Fishery imports   i   Million US\$   772   47.6   50.8   6374   831     Port capacity   Million US\$   772   47.6   50.8   6374   831     Port capacity   Million US\$   772   47.6   50.8   6374   831     Port capacity   Million US\$   772   47.6   50.8   63.9   63													
65-79 years   %   12.5   12.5   12.7   13.0   13.2   13.2   13.5   13.8   14.1   14.3   14.6   65-79 years   %   0.5   0.6   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0													
Solitor   Sol	•												
Median age of population	65-79 years	%	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4
Female labor force participation ε, ε   %   na   44.3   45.8   44.9   43.8   44.5   44.5   44.5   44.5   44.4   44.4	80 years and over	%		0.6				0.6	0.6				
HIFE EXPECTANCY d, ε  Males			21.7										
Males   Penales   Penal	Female labor force participation c, e	%	na	44.3	45.8	44.9	43.8	43.8	44.5	44.3	45.5	44.3	44.4
Females   Years   74.0   74.1   74.5   74.7   74.8   75.0   75.0   75.2   75.3   75.5   75.7	LIFE EXPECTANCY d, e												
FOOD INFRASTRUCTURE   Trade capacity   Grain exports f, g	Males	Years	69.3	69.5	69.6	69.7	69.7	69.9	70.1	70.3	70.4	70.6	70.8
Trade capacity   Grain exports   f. g		Years	74.0	74.1	74.1	74.5	74.7	74.8	75.0	75.2	75.3	75.5	75.7
Grain exports f, g Grain imports f, g Grain import													
Grain imports f, g	Trade capacity												
Total food and agricultural trade   Million US\$   10421   13514   14118   13240   12286   11827   10674   10844   11021   12278   12471   Total food and agricultural exports b, i, j Million US\$   6788   8943   89703   8312   8386   7691   6449   6460   6471   6471   7494   7494   Perishable products k   Million US\$   313   324   349   347   275   325   344   352   360   374   3811   Fishery exports h, i   Million US\$   314   329   320   330   301   295   344   352   360   357   359   361   Total food and agriculture import i, j, l Million US\$   3633   4571   5415   4928   3900   4136   4225   4384   4550   4550   4679   Perishable products k   Million US\$   771   980   1078   1040   761   864   931   975   1020   1062   1072   Fishery imports i, l   Million US\$   285   308   331   326   221   253   293   299   305   317   317   Port capacity c   Million tons   na   174   174   174   257   300   344   384   423   465   485   485   486   48	Grain exports f, g	1000 Tons	171	243	253	251	316	224	243	263	286	315	354
Total food and agricultural exports b, i, j Million US\$ 6788 8943 8703 8312 8386 7691 6449 6460 6471 7494 Perishable products k Million US\$ 313 324 349 347 275 325 344 352 360 374 381 Fishery exports h, i Million US\$ 314 329 320 330 330 301 295 344 350 357 359 361 Total food and agriculture import i, j, l Million US\$ 3633 4571 5415 4928 3900 4136 4225 4384 4550 4550 4679 Perishable products k Million US\$ 771 980 1078 1040 761 864 931 975 1020 1062 1072 Fishery imports i, l Million US\$ 285 308 331 326 221 253 293 299 305 317 317 Port capacity c Million tons na 174 174 174 257 300 344 384 423 465 485 Road access e Kms na 61207 62436 63748 64949 65091 65141 65345 66064 66593 66993 Rail access e Kms na 2227 2227 2227 2262 2265 2279 2311 2325 2325 Telecommunications e Lines/100 person na 19.5 24.2 28.5 29.3 31.4 35.2 38.3 41.5 42.5 43.5 Power generation m Gigawatts 40160 46634 52819 58674 60471 62553 66506 72413 78845 79790 83654 Percent of population with refrigerators % na 9.2 92 92 92 93 93 93 93 95 95 95 95  FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN THE FOOD SECTOR Inward FDI in the food sector, total n Million US\$ 57.3 38.3 40.6 43.6 57.1 50.2 29.9 28.8 31.8 29.4 28.2  ROLE OF AGRICULTURE AND TRADE IN THE ECONOMY b, ε, f  Agriculture as a share of GDP % 14.6 10.3 9.8 9.2 9.6 9.4 8.7 8.3 8.0 7.6 7.3  Self-sufficiency in gain % 39.7 36.9 35.8 32.8 38.0 31.0 30.6 30.2 29.7 29.5 27.8  Self-sufficiency in price % 77.4 76.3 75.2 74.2 73.1 72.1 71.1 71.2 71.4 71.6 71.8  Self-sufficiency in pricin horticultural products % 94.7 87.0 78.1 79.0 83.7 74.7 72.0 69.2 66.5 68.6 68.4  MACROECONOMIC INDICATORS b  GDP growth % 9.2 9.5 8.6 7.7 -7.4 5.8 8.5 0.4 4.2 3.7 4.5  Interest rate p, q 6 6.8 8.0 9.2 10.3 8.0 6.8 6.8 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.3													
Perishable products k         Million US\$         313         324         349         347         275         325         344         352         360         374         381           Fishery exports h, i         Million US\$         314         329         320         330         301         295         344         350         357         359         361           Total food and agriculture import i, j, l         Million US\$         3633         4571         5415         4928         3900         4136         4225         4384         4550         4550         4679           Perishable products k         Million US\$         771         980         1078         1040         761         864         931         975         1020         1062         1072           Fishery imports i, l         Million tots         285         308         331         326         221         253         293         299         305         317         317           Port capacity c         Million tots         867         308         331         326         221         253         293         299         305         317         317         317         317         317         397         295 <td>_</td> <td></td>	_												
Fishery exports h, i         Million US\$         314         329         320         330         301         295         344         350         357         359         361           Total food and agriculture import i, j, l         Million US\$         363         4571         5415         4928         3900         4136         4225         4384         4550         4550         4679           Perishable products k         Million US\$         771         980         1078         1040         761         864         931         975         1020         1062         1072           Fishery imports i, l         Million tons         na         174         174         174         275         300         344         384         423         465         485           Road access e         Kms         na         61207         62436         63748         64949         65091         65141         65345         66064         66593         66993           Rail access e         Kms         na         2227         2227         2226         2265         2279         2311         2325         2325         2325           Telecommunications e         Lines/100 person         na         192													
Total food and agriculture import i, j, l Million US\$ 3633 4571 5415 4928 3900 4136 4225 4384 4550 4550 4679 Perishable products k Million US\$ 771 980 1078 1040 761 864 931 975 1020 1062 1072 Fishery imports i, l Million US\$ 285 308 331 326 221 253 293 299 305 317 317 Port capacity c Million tons na 174 174 174 257 300 344 384 423 465 485 Road access e Kms na 61207 62436 63748 64949 65091 65141 65345 66064 66593 66993 Rail access e Kms na 2227 2227 2227 2262 2265 2279 2311 2325 2325 2325 Telecommunications e Lines/100 person na 19.5 24.2 28.5 29.3 31.4 35.2 38.3 41.5 42.5 43.5 Power generation m Gigawatts 40160 46634 52819 58674 60471 62553 66506 72413 78845 7979 83654 Percent of population with refrigerators % na 92 92 92 92 93 93 93 93 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95													
Perishable products &   Million US\$   771   980   1078   1040   761   864   931   975   1020   1062   1072													
Fishery imports i, I         Million US\$         285         308         331         326         221         253         293         299         305         317         317           Port capacity ε         Million tons         na         174         174         174         257         300         344         384         423         465         485           Road access ε         Kms         na         61207         62436         63748         64949         65091         65141         65345         66064         66593         66993           Rail access ε         Kms         na         2227         2227         2226         2265         2279         2311         2325         2325         2325           Telecommunications ε         Lines/100 person         na         19.5         24.2         28.5         29.3         31.4         35.2         38.3         41.5         42.5         43.5           Power generation m         Gigawatts         40160         46634         52819         58674         60471         62553         66506         72413         78845         79790         83654           Percent of population with refrigerators         ma         77.2         47.6													
Port capacity ε         Million tons         na         174         174         174         257         300         344         384         423         465         485           Road access ε         Kms         na         61207         62436         63748         64949         65091         65141         65345         66064         66593         66993           Rail access ε         Kms         na         2227         2227         2227         2262         2265         2279         2311         2325         2325         2325           Telecommunications ε         Lines/100 person         na         19.5         24.2         28.5         29.3         31.4         35.2         38.3         41.5         42.5         43.5           Power generation m         Gigawatts         40160         46634         52819         58674         60471         62553         66506         72413         78845         79790         83654           Percent of population with refrigerators         %         na         92         92         92         93         93         95         95         95         95           FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN THE FOOD SECTOR           Inward FDI in the food sector,													
Rail access e         Kms         na         2227         2227         2227         2262         2265         2279         2311         2325         2325         2325           Telecommunications e         Lines/100 person         na         19.5         24.2         28.5         29.3         31.4         35.2         38.3         41.5         42.5         43.5           Power generation m         Gigawatts         40160         46634         52819         58674         60471         62553         66506         72413         78845         79790         83654           Percent of population with refrigerators         %         na         92         92         92         93         93         93         95		Million tons	na	174	174	174	257	300	344	384	423	465	485
Telecommunications ε Lines/100 person na 19.5 24.2 28.5 29.3 31.4 35.2 38.3 41.5 42.5 43.5 Power generation m Gigawatts 40160 46634 52819 58674 60471 62553 66506 72413 78845 79790 83654 Percent of population with refrigerators % na 92 92 92 93 93 93 93 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	Road access e	Kms	na	61207	62436	63748	64949	65091	65141	65345	66064	66593	66993
Power generation m   Power	Rail access e	Kms	na	2227		2227	2262	2265	2279				
Percent of population with refrigerators   %   na   92   92   92   93   93   93   93   95   95   95   95													
FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN THE FOOD SECTOR  Inward FDI in the food sector, total n Million US\$ 77.2 47.6 50.8 61.9 93.1 72.8 70.6 72.5 180.9 77.4 74.4 From other PECC economies θ Million US\$ 57.3 38.3 40.6 43.6 75.1 50.2 29.9 28.8 31.8 29.4 28.2   ROLE OF AGRICULTURE AND TRADE IN THE ECONOMY b, c, f  Agriculture as a share of GDP % 14.6 10.3 9.8 9.2 9.6 9.4 8.7 8.3 8.0 7.6 7.3 Self-sufficiency in grain % 39.7 36.9 35.8 32.8 38.0 31.0 30.6 30.2 29.7 29.5 27.8 Self-sufficiency in irce % 77.4 76.3 75.2 74.2 73.1 72.1 71.1 71.2 71.4 71.6 71.8 Self-sufficiency in horticultural products % 94.7 87.0 78.1 79.0 83.7 74.7 72.0 69.2 66.5 68.6 68.4   MACROECONOMIC INDICATORS b  GDP growth % 9.2 9.5 8.6 7.7 -7.4 5.8 8.5 0.4 4.2 3.7 4.5 Interest rate p, q % 6.8 8.0 9.2 10.3 8.0 6.8 6.8 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.3		0											
Inward FDI in the food sector, total n From other PECC economies ο         Million US\$         77.2         47.6         50.8         61.9         93.1         72.8         70.6         72.5         180.9         77.4         74.4           From other PECC economies ο         Million US\$         57.3         38.3         40.6         43.6         75.1         50.2         29.9         28.8         31.8         29.4         28.2           ROLE OF AGRICULTURE AND TRADE IN THE ECONOMY $b$ , $c$ , $f$ Agriculture as a share of GDP         %         14.6         10.3         9.8         9.2         9.6         9.4         8.7         8.3         8.0         7.6         7.3           Self-sufficiency in grain         %         39.7         36.9         35.8         32.8         38.0         31.0         30.6         30.2         29.7         29.5         27.8           Self-sufficiency in incre         %         77.4         76.3         75.2         74.2         73.1         72.1         71.1         71.2         71.4         71.6         71.8           Self-sufficiency in horticultural products         %         94.7         87.0         78.1         79.0         83.7 <td>Percent of population with refrigerators</td> <td>%</td> <td>na</td> <td>92</td> <td>92</td> <td>92</td> <td>93</td> <td>93</td> <td>93</td> <td>95</td> <td>95</td> <td>95</td> <td>95</td>	Percent of population with refrigerators	%	na	92	92	92	93	93	93	95	95	95	95
From other PECC economies θ   Million US\$   57.3   38.3   40.6   43.6   75.1   50.2   29.9   28.8   31.8   29.4   28.2	FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN THE FO	OD SECTOR											
ROLE OF AGRICULTURE AND TRADE IN THE ECONOMY b, c, f           Agriculture as a share of GDP         %         14.6         10.3         9.8         9.2         9.6         9.4         8.7         8.3         8.0         7.6         7.3           Self-sufficiency in grain         %         39.7         36.9         35.8         32.8         38.0         31.0         30.6         30.2         29.7         29.5         27.8           Self-sufficiency in rice         %         77.4         76.3         75.2         74.2         73.1         72.1         71.1         71.2         71.4         71.6         71.8           Self-sufficiency in horticultural products         %         94.7         87.0         78.1         79.0         83.7         74.7         72.0         69.2         66.5         68.6         68.4           MACROECONOMIC INDICATORS b           GDP growth         %         9.2         9.5         8.6         7.7         -7.4         5.8         8.5         0.4         4.2         3.7         4.5           Interest rate p, q         %         6.8         8.0         9.2         10.3         8.0         6.8         6.8         4.0         3.0 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Million US\$</td><td>77.2</td><td>47.6</td><td>50.8</td><td>61.9</td><td>93.1</td><td>72.8</td><td>70.6</td><td>72.5</td><td>180.9</td><td>77.4</td><td>74.4</td></td<>		Million US\$	77.2	47.6	50.8	61.9	93.1	72.8	70.6	72.5	180.9	77.4	74.4
ROLE OF AGRICULTURE AND TRADE IN THE ECONOMY b, c, f           Agriculture as a share of GDP         %         14.6         10.3         9.8         9.2         9.6         9.4         8.7         8.3         8.0         7.6         7.3           Self-sufficiency in grain         %         39.7         36.9         35.8         32.8         38.0         31.0         30.6         30.2         29.7         29.5         27.8           Self-sufficiency in rice         %         77.4         76.3         75.2         74.2         73.1         72.1         71.1         71.2         71.4         71.6         71.8           Self-sufficiency in horticultural products         %         94.7         87.0         78.1         79.0         83.7         74.7         72.0         69.2         66.5         68.6         68.6         68.6           MACROECONOMIC INDICATORS b           GDP growth         %         9.2         9.5         8.6         7.7         -7.4         5.8         8.5         0.4         4.2         3.7         4.5           Interest rate p, q         %         6.8         8.0         9.2         10.3         8.0         6.8         6.8         4.0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Million US\$</td><td>57.3</td><td>38.3</td><td>40.6</td><td>43.6</td><td>75.1</td><td>50.2</td><td>29.9</td><td>28.8</td><td>31.8</td><td>29.4</td><td>28.2</td></t<>		Million US\$	57.3	38.3	40.6	43.6	75.1	50.2	29.9	28.8	31.8	29.4	28.2
Self-sufficiency in grain         %         39.7         36.9         35.8         32.8         38.0         31.0         30.6         30.2         29.7         29.5         27.8           Self-sufficiency in rice         %         77.4         76.3         75.2         74.2         73.1         72.1         71.1         71.2         71.4         71.6         71.8           Self-sufficiency in horticultural products         %         94.7         87.0         78.1         79.0         83.7         74.7         72.0         69.2         66.5         68.6         68.4           MACROECONOMIC INDICATORS b           GDP growth         %         9.2         9.5         8.6         7.7         -7.4         5.8         8.5         0.4         4.2         3.7         4.5           Interest rate p, q         %         6.8         8.0         9.2         10.3         8.0         6.8         6.8         4.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.3	<b>ROLE OF AGRICULTURE AND TRA</b>	DE IN THE E	CONOMY	b, c, f									
Self-sufficiency in rice         %         77.4         76.3         75.2         74.2         73.1         72.1         71.1         71.2         71.4         71.6         71.8         Self-sufficiency in horticultural products         %         94.7         87.0         78.1         79.0         83.7         74.7         72.0         69.2         66.5         68.6         68.4           MACROECONOMIC INDICATORS b           GDP growth         %         9.2         9.5         8.6         7.7         -7.4         5.8         8.5         0.4         4.2         3.7         4.5           Interest rate p, q         %         6.8         8.0         9.2         10.3         8.0         6.8         6.8         4.0         3.0         3.0         3.3	6				9.8			9.4	8.7	8.3			
Self-sufficiency in horticultural products         %         94.7         87.0         78.1         79.0         83.7         74.7         72.0         69.2         66.5         68.6         68.4           MACROECONOMIC INDICATORS b           GDP growth         %         9.2         9.5         8.6         7.7         -7.4         5.8         8.5         0.4         4.2         3.7         4.5           Interest rate p, q         %         6.8         8.0         9.2         10.3         8.0         6.8         6.8         4.0         3.0         3.0         3.3													
MACROECONOMIC INDICATORS b  GDP growth													
GDP growth % 9.2 9.5 8.6 7.7 -7.4 5.8 8.5 0.4 4.2 3.7 4.5 Interest rate p, q % 6.8 8.0 9.2 10.3 8.0 6.8 6.8 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.3	Selt-sufficiency in horticultural products	%	94.7	87.0	78.1	79.0	83.7	74.7	72.0	69.2	66.5	68.6	68.4
Interest rate p, q % 6.8 8.0 9.2 10.3 8.0 6.8 6.8 4.0 3.0 3.0 3.3													
	E												
Exchange rate Ringgit/US\$ 2.62 2.51 2.52 2.81 3.92 3.80 3.80 3.80 3.80 3.80 3.80 3.80													
	Exchange rate	Kinggit/US\$	2.62	2.51	2.52	2.81	3.92	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80

Sources:
a. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), "FAO Yearbook Balance Sheets", Various issues
b. Bank Negara Malaysia, "Annual Report", Various issues
c. Malaysia, "Eight Malaysia, Plan 2001-2005"
d. Department of Statistics Malaysia, "Yearbook of Statistics", various issues
e. Prime Minister's Department, "The Malaysian Economy in Figures",

Property of Statistics Malaysia, "Lower Malaysia, various issues
eggs

various issues

f. http://apps.fao.org/

eggs l. Department of Statistics Malaysia, "Import Malaysia", various issues

m. Department of Statistics Malaysia, "Monthly Statistical Bulletin, March 2001"

n. Ministry of Finance Malaysia, "Economic Report", various issues o. Includes Australia, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Japan, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan and United States

p. http://www.bmm.gov.my/pub/msb/latest/v\_01.pdf

q. Average base lending rates at end-period